

NEUTRON STARS IN THEORY AND OBSERVATION

H. Heintzmann

**Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Köln**

INDICE

	<u>Pág.</u>
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	1193
1 - <i>PULSARS: THE STANDARD MODEL</i>	1197
2 - <i>THE SUPERNOVA REMNANT</i>	1199
3 - <i>ACCRETING n^*</i>	1203
3.1 - <i>Spherical Accretion</i>	1203
3.2 - <i>Influence of Magnetic Fields on Accretion</i>	1205
3.3 - <i>The Eddington Limit for Spherical Accretion</i>	1206
 Easily Accessible Literature	 1207

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that an ordinary star lives because nuclear fuel (hydrogen) provides the pressure to sustain the gravitational pull. Neglecting for a moment angular momentum and magnetic fields three distinctively different outcomes for the end of an isolated star are possible:

1. If the core of the star was originally less massive than about $1.2 M_{\odot}$ ($1 M_{\odot} = 2 \cdot 10^{33} \text{g}$) it will end up as a "white dwarf". Having cooled off for some 10^9 years it will become a "black dwarf";
2. If the core exceeded $\sim 1.2 M_{\odot}$ and was less massive than $\sim 2.4 M_{\odot}$ a neutron star ("n*") will be formed since the binding energy of a n* is $\sim 10\%$ of its rest-mass-energy formation of a n* is a catastrophic event observable as a supernova. (Note however that most of this energy $E \sim 0.1 M_{\odot} c^2 \sim 2 \cdot 10^{53}$ ergs goes into neutrinos and eventually gravitational waves, only $\sim 10^{49}$ erg goes into visible light) The supernova remnants will die within some 10^4 years whereas the n* will live up to 10^7 years as a pulsar and for ever as an accreting X-ray source.
3. If the core-mass exceeds $\sim 2.4 M_{\odot}$ no force can stop collapse and according to Einstein's theory a "black hole" is formed. This issue is as yet purely theoretical - based on the theory of nuclear forces and general relativity - just as were n* before the discovery of pulsars.

For star in binary systems (and essentially all may

have passed through a binary state) the story is more complicated: the heavier of the two develops faster and will shed mass on the companion. The formation of a n^* may but as we know now must not disrupt the system. n^* in binary systems have been discovered. The companion of the so formed n^* will in turn develop and shed mass back on the n^* without altering much the n^* mass (see below) and may itself finally undergo a supernova explosion with the result of two single n^* or a binary system composed of two n^* which also may have been observed. Logically there is also the possibility of a n^* -black hole system.

Things which complicate this picture are angular momentum and magnetic fields and it is these which make the objects observable to us. It is believed that both ordinary pulsars (i.e. pulsating radio sources) and X-ray pulsars get their clock mechanism through an oblique magnetic field whereas angular momentum keeps the clock going. Furthermore accretion from a companion is a prerequisite to make X-ray radiation, just how this proceeds in detail is unknown. Once the matter has settled on the n^* surface it gets compressed by the matter piling up on top of it. It will then be processed through nuclear burning. H burning is innocent and will just heat the n^* surface but He burning may be explosive and give rise to short flashes of X-rays. These are possibly observed as "bursters". Here then a direct determination of the surface area becomes possible which agrees well typical n^* dimensions to end this short overview some numerology:

324 pulsars are known with periods between 33msec and 4.2 sec.

96 X-ray point sources are known out of which
~ 24 show "bursters". The bursters rise in a time less than a
second last up to 100 seconds and carry a total amount
of energy of some 10^{39} ergs. The spectra fit blackbody
radiation with a temperature $T \approx 3 \cdot 10^7$ K coming from an
area with radius 10 km.

2 pulsars are in binary systems with as yet undiscovered com-
panion

out of the 96 X-ray sources 65 have a precisely established
optical counterpart. n^* in binary systems are especially va-
luable since they allow determination of their mass (through
theory). The so determined n^* masses fall into a very narrow
range $1.2 M_{\odot} \leq M_{n^*} \leq 1.6 M_{\odot}$ which is remarkable.

1. PULSARS: THE STANDARD MODEL

The standard model describes pulsars as a rotating n^* with an inclined magnetic field by some angle χ . If such an object would rotate in vacuo it would emit low frequency waves at a rate

$$\dot{E} = - \frac{2}{3c^3} (\ddot{u})^2 = - \frac{2}{3c^3} R_s^6 B_s^2 \Omega^4 \quad (1)$$

Such radiation would slow down the angular momentum at a rate $\dot{E} = I\Omega\dot{\Omega} = MR_s^2\Omega\dot{\Omega}$. This slow-down of n^* is in fact observed and equating both energy-loss formulae we obtain

$$\Omega = - \frac{2}{3c^3} M^{-1} \Omega^3 \phi^2 \quad \phi := B_s R_s^2 \quad (2)$$

However if one computes the electric field parallel to the magnetic field at the n^* surface one finds that it is orders of magnitude stronger than the gravitational force, so the surface particles will be pulled away. Even if the n^* has a solid surface with good binding the vacuum around would be unstable to e^-e^+ pair creation. Therefore wherever possible a magnetosphere will develop such that the net force on a charged particle

$$\vec{K} = e \left(\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{V}}{c} \times \vec{B} \right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

To this belongs a minimum charge density $4\pi\rho = \text{div}\vec{E}$ which can be computed if we know in $\vec{E} = - \frac{\vec{V}}{c} \times \vec{B}$ the velocity \vec{V} . For rigid conotation $\vec{V} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{R}$ and this gives

$$\rho = -\frac{\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{B}}{2\pi c} \quad n = \frac{\Omega B}{2\pi c e} \quad (4)$$

From (2) we can obtain the magnetic field strength at the surface ($\sim 10^{12}$ Gauss for most $\dot{\Omega}$ and Ω taking $R_s = 10^6$ cm) and for $\Omega = 200$ (the value of the fastest pulsar) we get $n_e = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3} (\Omega/200) B_{12}$. However rigid corotation is possible only up to $|\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{R}| = c$ the so called velocity of light cylinder. There the density will be for a dipole magnetic field throughout $n = n_s (\Omega R_s / c)^3 = 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} (\Omega/200)^4$ corresponding to a plasma frequency $\omega_p^2 = 4\pi e^2 n_e / m_e$ $\omega_p = 10^7 (\Omega/200)^2$. Such a plasma is much too dense to let a wave of frequency Ω propagate but in our case this argument does not hold. The low frequency waves are so powerful that they will simply blow the plasma away accelerating it until energy balance is achieved:

$$m_e n_e c^2 \gamma_e = \frac{B^2}{4\pi} = \frac{B^2}{4\pi} \quad \text{dipole at light cylinder} \quad (5)$$

With our above given numbers we obtain $\gamma = 10^6 B_{s12}^2 (\Omega/200)^{-3}$. A relativistic wind plus low frequency wave with $\gamma = 10^6$ will emerge from the velocity of light cylinder carrying $\sim 10^{38}$ ergs sec^{-1} . What will these electrons (or positrons) do if they enter the Crab nebula which has an estimated magnetic field of $\sim 10^{-3}$ Gauss ?

2. THE SUPERNOVA REMNANT

Particles in a magnetic field B emit synchrotron radiation at a frequency

$$\omega_c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{eB}{mc} \gamma^2 = 10^{16} (\text{Hertz}) B_{-3} \gamma_6^2 \quad (6)$$

and at a rate

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} = \frac{mc^2 \gamma}{\tau} = 0.510^{-14} (\text{erg/sec}) B_{-3}^2 \gamma_6^2 \quad (6a)$$

with

$$\tau = \frac{0.0167}{B_0^2 \gamma_3} \text{ years} \quad (6b)$$

i.e. in the ultraviolet in our case. It is reassuring that this is in fact observed with the Crab nebula. Since τ is only ~ 20 years but the nebula ~ 920 years old the radiation from the nebula is direct evidence that energetic particles are fed into the nebula from the pulsar. As crude as the picture may be it does allow for a prediction which can be checked: if the energy is transported they low-frequency electromagnetic waves, as given by eqn. (1), the braking index

$$n = \frac{\ddot{\Omega}}{\dot{\Omega}^2}$$

should be 3 since $\dot{\Omega} \sim -\Omega^3$. This number has been measured (only) for the Crab pulsar and found to be $n = 2.5$. With a time independent braking index

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 \left[1 - (n-1) \frac{\dot{\Omega}_0}{\Omega_0} (t-t_0) \right]^{\frac{1}{1-n}} \quad (7)$$

so that in 1054 when the Crab pulsar was born it had $\Omega/\Omega_0 = 1.9, 1.7, 1.68$ for $n = 3, 2.5$ and 2.4 respectively and the pulsar rotated roughly twice as fast as it does now ($\Omega_0 = 200 \text{ sec}^{-1}$). The "time" $\Omega_0/\dot{\Omega}_0 = \tau \approx 2500$ years for the Crab pulsar and the total energy it would have pumped into the nebula would have been for constant $n = 3$

$$\Delta E = \int_0^{t_0} \dot{E} dt = \dot{E}_0 \int \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_0} \right)^4 dt = \dot{E}_0 \frac{\tau}{2} \left[1 - \frac{2t_0}{\tau} \right]^{-1} \gg \dot{E}_0 t_0$$

Without braking the total energy would have been $\dot{E}_0 t_0 = 310^{48}$ ergs ($E_0 = 10^{38}$ ergs) and with braking 5 times as much i.e.

$\Delta E \sim 1.510^{49}$ ergs. This is comparable to the total kinetic energy in the nebula if its mass is $\sim M_\odot$ i.e. 1.510^{49} ergs kinetic and roughly as much magnetic energy. The velocity of the expanding gaseous filaments is $V \approx 1500$ km/sec which gives

$E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} M_\odot V^2 = 1.510^{49}$ erg and with $R \sim 310^{18}$ cm an age of $\Gamma \sim 800$ years whereas the nebula is 920 years old. The average acceleration must have been $g = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ cm sec}^{-2}$ which is more than accounted for by the pulsar. What happens to the electrons in the nebula? The formulae collected in (6) tell us that for $\langle B \rangle = 10^{-3}$ Gauss and $\langle \gamma \rangle = 10^6$ corresponding to $\epsilon = 1$ erg we find that $\sim 10^{49}$ electrons (or more) should be present. They get degraded in energy and would have piled up with a constant $\langle B \rangle = 10^{-3}$ Gauss at $\gamma_3 = 0.0167 \cdot 10^6 / 920 = 20\gamma_3$ giving rise to radio waves with $\nu_m = 10^3 (\text{Hertz}) \gamma^2 B_{-3}$, i.e. $\nu_m = 10^{11}$.

This is not what is observed. The bulk of the emission is at the lowest yet observed frequency $\nu \sim 10^7 - 10^8$ Hertz corresponding to $\gamma \approx 300$ and a lifetime $t \approx 6 \cdot 10^4$ years. It may be that the dynamics of the S-N-remnant resolves this puzzle. Using a Sedov-Taylor similarity solution for the expansion of the remnant into the surrounding interstellar medium of density ρ_1

$$R(t) = \left(\frac{2.2E_0}{\rho_1} \right)^{1/5} \sim 10^{15} \text{ cm } E_{50}^{1/5} n_0^{-1/5} t_0^{2/5} \quad (8)$$

$$R = \frac{5}{2} v t \quad (8a)$$

$$n = 4n_1 = \text{const} \quad (8b)$$

$$kT = \frac{3}{25} \frac{2.2E_0}{n_1} R^{-3} \quad (8c)$$

E_0 is the explosion energy. If the magnetic flux is constant $\phi = BR^2 = \text{const}$ B will change as $(t_0/t)^{4/5}$ which shows that the magnetic field was large enough to degrade electrons down to $\gamma \sim 300$ in the first 10 years which is still a little short but not completely hopeless. After some 10^4 years the remnant will have died off and we are left with a n^* travelling through interstellar space. Is the n^* able to accrete interstellar matter? Let us first consider single particle accretion. In this case a particle is unable to give away angular momentum so the quantity $\vec{L} = m\vec{v} \times \vec{R}$ is a constant. At the surface of the n^* the free fall velocity is $v_{ff} = \sqrt{2GM/R} \sim 0.3c$ and the corresponding angular momentum $L \leq mv_{ff}R_*$. The impact radius λ for particles which are accreted is therefore $\lambda = R_* \frac{v_{ff}}{v_\infty}$ when v_∞ is the average velocity of the particles far away from the

star. The current will therefore be $I = \pi l^2 n_{\infty} v_{\infty}$ corresponding to an accretion Mass-rate $\dot{M} = mI \sim 10^3 \text{ g sec}^{-1} n_{0\infty} M_{\odot} v_{6\infty}$ and to a luminosity $L = 0.1 \dot{M} c^2 \approx 10^{23} \text{ erg sec}^{-1} n_{0\infty} M_{\odot} v_{6\infty}$. Even if the n^* is travelling at 1000 km/sec ($v_6 = 10^2$) through a dense cloud $n_{\infty} = 10^4$ this would give only $10^{29} \text{ erg sec}^{-1}$ (and the radiation would peak in X-range) hopelessly undetectable with present instruments. There is a chance only if there are enough collisions among the particles so that angular momentum can be removed i.e. the particles must behave as a gas. This leads us to the simplest picture of an accreting X-ray source.

3. ACCRETING n^*

3.1. Spherical Accretion

We get a first feeling for the problems involved if we approximate the gas by an ideal gas which gets adiabatically compressed as it falls towards the n^* . The equation $p = R\rho T$ $R = C_p - C_v$ gives with $s = \frac{3}{2} \ln p\rho^{-\gamma} = \text{const}$ the equation of state

$$p = p_\infty \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_\infty}\right)^\gamma \quad T = T_\infty \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_\infty}\right)^{\gamma-1} \quad (9)$$

For stationary (i.e. $\partial/\partial t = 0$) spherically symmetric accretion the momentum equation (neglecting radiation) reads

$$\rho v \frac{dv}{dr} = - \frac{dp}{dr} - \rho \frac{M}{r^2} \quad (10)$$

and particle conservation $\dot{\rho} + \text{div } \rho v = 0$ gives

$$dI = \text{const} = \rho v r^2 d\Omega \quad (11)$$

with the first integrals

Bernoulli' equation

$$\frac{1}{2} v^2 - \frac{GM}{r} + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \left(\frac{dp}{d\rho}\right) = \text{const} \frac{1}{\gamma-1} C_s^2 \quad C_s^2 := \frac{dp}{d\rho} \quad (12)$$

$$v = \frac{\dot{M}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\rho r^2} \quad (13)$$

Eliminating p and $\rho = \rho_\infty (C_s/C_{s\infty})^{2/\gamma-1}$ in favour of C_s we obtain

equivalently

$$v = \frac{\dot{M}}{2\pi\rho_\infty} + 2 \left(\frac{C_{s\infty}}{c_s}\right)^{2/\gamma-1} \quad (13a)$$

$$\frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{C_s^2}{\gamma-1} = \frac{GM}{r} + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} C_{s\infty}^2 \quad (12a)$$

We are looking for a simultaneous solution of (13a) and (12a). Going back a moment to the differential relations $d/dr(r^2\rho v) = 0$ and eqn. (10) we find (equating dv/dr in both equations)

$$\frac{d\rho}{dr} \left(\frac{C_s^2}{\rho} - \frac{v^2}{\rho}\right) = \frac{2v^2}{r} - \frac{GM}{r^2} \quad (14)$$

so that the set of equations is over determined if $v = C_s$ at some points r_s (sonic point). In this case the accretions rate is no longer a free parameter but is determined $v^2 = C_s^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{GM}{r_s}$ from (12a)

$$\begin{aligned} C_s &= v = C_{s\infty} \sqrt{2/5-3\gamma} \\ r_s &= \frac{5-3\gamma}{4} \frac{GM}{C_{s\infty}^2} \\ \dot{M} &= 4\pi \cdot (GM)^2 \cdot C_{s\infty}^{-3} \cdot \lambda_c \quad \lambda_c \approx 1.2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Typical values are $\dot{M} = 10^{17} \text{ g sec}^{-1} = 10^{-9} M_\odot/\text{year}$, v_{n*}^2 at n_* surface $v_{n*}^2 = 0.1c^2$ so that $\rho_{n*} = 10^{-6} \text{ gcm}^{-3}$ or $n_{n*} = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ much denser than a pulsar magnetosphere. Starting with $T_\infty = 10^4 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$ we obtain with $C_{s\infty}$ as determined from (15) $n_\infty = 3 \cdot 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This it taken literally would imply $T_{n*} = T_\infty (\rho_{n*}/\rho_\infty)^{\gamma-1} \sim 10^4 \cdot (3 \cdot 10^{12})^{\gamma-1}$ which even for a γ as low as $4/3$ would give

the absurd temperature of $T_{n*} > 10^8$ K corresponding to a black-body luminosity $L = 4\pi R^2 a T^4$ ($a = c \frac{\pi^2}{60} (\hbar c)^{-3} k_B^4 = 5.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ cgs units) $L \sim 6 \cdot 10^{40}$ (erg/sec) $T_8^4 R_6^2$ many orders of magnitude larger than the total energy set free by accretion. The final infall is therefore no longer adiabatic.

3.2. Influence of Magnetic Fields on Accretion

In our somewhat idealized description the flux of gas becomes supersonic at $r_s = 0.2 \frac{GM}{C_{s\infty}^2} = 2 \cdot 10^{13}$ cm i.e. very far from the n_* surface. Magnetic fields become important when $\epsilon_{kin} = \frac{B^2}{8\pi}$ which gives for a dipolar field

$$r_c = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} B_{0s}^2 R_s^6 C_s^3}{8\pi \lambda_c \rho_\infty m_p GM^{5/2}} \right)^{2/7} = 3 \cdot 10^8 \text{ cm} \cdot B_{12}^{4/7} \cdot R_6^{12/7} \cdot n_5^{-2/7} \cdot M_9^{-5/7} \quad (16)$$

The speed of light cylinder is typically at $r_L = 10^{10}$ cm so that we have

$$r_s \gg r_L \gg r_c \gg r_{n*}$$

We expect that at $r = r_c$ the material gets decelerated and shocked. From there on (inwards) the magnetic field will dominate the infall dynamics. For free fall along the magnetic field lines one would still get $v = 0.3c$ at the surface of the n_* corresponding to a single particle energy $0.1 m_p c^2 = 100$ MeV. At the latest at the n_* surface this energy goes into heat $L = 0.1 \dot{M} c^2$ implying a steady-state temperature

$$T_{bb} = \left(\frac{0.1 \dot{M} c^2}{4\pi R^2 a} \right)^{1/4} = 25 \cdot 10^7 \text{ } ^\circ\text{K} \cdot \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{17} \text{ gsec}^{-1}} \right)^{1/4} \cdot R_6^{-1/2} \quad (17)$$

with photon-energy $k_B T \sim 1 \text{ keV} \ll 0.1 m_p c^2 \approx 100 \text{ MeV}$. It is this large factor by which the two energies differ which makes it at present so difficult to tell how the X-radiation is generated.

3.3. The Eddington Limit for Spherical Accretion

With luminosity $L = \dot{E} = 0.1 \dot{M} c^2$ the merging photons exert a force on the infalling electrons and ions. The force is $K = m \dot{p}_{\text{photon}}$ and $\dot{p}_{\text{photon}} = \frac{2E_{\text{photon}}}{c} \frac{\sigma}{F}$ where σ is the cross-section for Thomson scattering. The electrons are more effective and have

$$\sigma_{\text{Th}} = \pi \left(\frac{c}{m_e c^2} \right)^2 = 6.7 \cdot 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2$$

so the force on the infalling electrons is

$$F_e = \sigma_{\text{Th}} \frac{L}{2\pi r^2 c} \quad (18)$$

it has the same radial dependence as does the gravitational pull - GMm/r^2 . Equating both forces (which stops the infall completely) gives the critical luminosity

$$L_{\text{Edd}} = G \frac{2\pi m M}{\sigma_{\text{Th}}} = 1.26 \cdot 10^{38} \text{ erg/sec } M_\odot m_p \quad (19)$$

$$\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} = 4\pi c R m_p \sigma_{\text{Th}}^{-1} = 1.38 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ gsec}^{-1} \quad (20)$$

$$T_{\text{bb Edd.}} = 4.4 \cdot 10^7 \text{ } ^\circ\text{K} R_6^{-1/2} M_\theta^{1/4} \quad (21)$$

In all observations so far one has concluded so far that indeed $L_X \leq L_{\text{Edd.}}$ (although our derivation is some only for spherical accretion)

Easily accessible literature:

- Landau L.D., Lifshitz E.M. Vol. V Chapt.XI
Vol. VI Chapt. X § 99
- Shklovsky I.S. Stars: Their Birth, Life and Death (1978)
Freeman, S. Francisco
- Manchester R.N., Taylor J.H. Pulsars (1977) Freeman S.F.

GALAXIAS EN EL UNIVERSO - (do Vol. II)

REFERENCIAS

- Bachall, N., 1979, Ap. J. Lett.
- Burbidge, E.M., Burbidge, G.R., Prendergast, K.,
- Christiansen, W., Pacholczyk, A., Scott, J., 1977, Nature 266, 593.
- de Vaucouleurs, G., 1959, Handb. Phys. 53, 311.
- Fish, R., 1964, Ap. J. 139, 284.
- Geller, M.J., Peebles, P.J., 1973, Ap. J. 184, 329.
- Gott, J.R., Turner, E., 1977, Ap. J. 186, 777.
- Hoyle, F., 1952, IAU Symp. N^o 2, Cosmical Gas Dynamics.
 , 1953, Ap. J. 118, 513.
- Hubble, E., 1926, Ap. J. 63, 236.
 , 1936, Ap. J. 84, 158, 517.
- Jeans, J., 1929, Astronomy and Cosmogony, Cambridge U.P.
- Jones, B.T., 1976, Rev. Mod. Phys. 48, 107.
- Lynden-Bell, D., 1967, M.N.R.A.S. 136, 101.
- Nordsiek, K., 1973a, Ap. J. 184, 719.
 , 1973b, Ap. J. 184, 735.
- Ostriker, J.P., Peebles, P.J., 1973, Ap. J. 186, 467.
- Rees, M.J., 1978, Nature 275, 576.
- Rubin, V.C., Ann. Rep. DTM, Carnegie Inst. Washington D.C. ,
 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978.
- Sandage, A.R., 1961, The Hubble Atlas of Galaxies, Carnegie
 Inst., Washington D.C.
- Sérsic, J.L., 1968, Galaxias Australes, Univ. de Córdoba.
 , 1968, B.A.C. 19, N^o 3.
 , 1965, Bol. IMAF Tomo XLIV, Entr. 1-4.
 , Calderón, J.H., 1979, Astrophys. and Sp. Sc.62,

Nº 1, 211.

- Silk, J., 1977, Ap. J. 211, 638.

, 1977, Nature 265, 710.

- van den Bergh, S., 1960, Ap. J. 131, 215 y 558.

GALAXIAS EN EL UNIVERSO - (do Vol. II)

ERRATA

Ler

P. 549

Linha 12: "en el capítulo de DISTANCIAS ASTRONOMICAS"

P. 553

Linha 3 (de abaixo): Abell

P. 559

Linha 14: cuanto

P. 565

Linha 6 (de abaixo): $-\nabla^2(D(\theta)\rho)$

P. 565

Linha 2 (de abaixo): tanto L como θ lo son

P. 566

Linha 1: para $t^* = -t$.

P. 567

Linha 12 (de abaixo): sencillamente

P. 578

Linha 9: $C_s = \frac{1}{3} C$ y la ...

P. 579

Linha 5 (de abaixo): siendo $\gamma-1 = d \ln T/d \ln \rho$

P. 582

Linha 2: el contrario algún término

P. 583

Linha 8 (de abaixo): $3\Gamma = \rho_s O_s^2$

P. 584

Linha 1 (de abaixo): es $\tau = (G\rho_T)^{-1/2} (1-\lambda^{-3/2})^{-1} \dots$ etc.

P. 586

Linha 9: y $\rho_C(T) = \frac{1}{G} \left(\frac{\Delta X(T)}{3k} \right)^2$

P. 586

Linha 13: $\rho_{T,C} = \rho_C(T) \frac{(1+z)^2}{(1+2\eta)^2} + \dots$

P. 588

Linha 6 (de abaixo): ... identificadas en el ...

P. 589

Linha 1: tado estacionario que

P. 589

Linha 6: Junto con $2U + W = 0$ conduce

P. 590

Linha 8: la ecuación (2) toma la forma

Linha 9: $1 + \eta = \frac{\lambda-1}{2n\lambda} \left[\left| \frac{W}{2U} \right| + h^2(\lambda+1) \right] \quad (4)$

Linha 17: $h^2 = 0.3$ y $\eta = 0.7$

P. 591

TABLA III :

sustituir w^2 por h^2

GALAXIAS EN EL UNIVERSO - (do Vol. II)

ADDENDA et ERRATA

Addenda P. 587

Una expresión menos simplista del límite inferior para $1+z$ en la época de formación de las galaxias es

$$1 + z \geq 0.71 \frac{(1+2\eta)^{1/2}}{(1-\eta)^{1/4}}$$

de donde sale la siguiente tabla

$1-\eta$	$1+z \geq$	$z \geq$
0.9999	12.25	11.25
0.999	6.88	5.88
0.99	3.86	2.86
0.9	2.10	1.10
0.8	1.70	0.70
0.7	1.48	0.48

Nótese que, según estos resultados, la formación de galaxias espirales ($1-\eta \approx 0.99$) tendría lugar en $z \approx 3$, corrimiento al rojo característico de los QSS.

P. 590

Es posible simplificar aún más la expresión (4) observando que el virial con rotación ($2U+W_r = 0$) equivale a

$$\left| \frac{W}{2U} \right| = 1 - h^2$$

de donde la (4) se reduce a

$$1 + \eta = \frac{\lambda-1}{2R\lambda} \left[1 + h^2 \lambda \right]$$